Evaluating risk

Andy J. Wills

・ロト・日本・ヨト・ヨー うへの

Sally Clark



- Solicitor; born 1964.
- Christopher died at 11 weeks.
- One year later, Harry died at 8 weeks.
- Little to no forensic evidence.
- No evidence she had been a violent or uncaring parent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

Roy Meadows



- Sally convicted of murder, spent three years in prison
- Central to conviction was evidence of expert witness Prof. Roy Meadows:
 - Probability of two cot deaths in the same family was 1 in 73 million

Less than once a century in the UK.

 Released on appeal, partly because Prof. Meadows's risk evaluation was demonstrably wrong.

Smoking and risk



・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

- "We all gotta die of something"
- $\blacktriangleright P(death|smoker) = 1$
- ▶ *P*(*death*|*nonsmoker*) = 1
- How about "smokers die younger?"

Smokers die younger (than non-smokers)



- "I knew a lady who smoked every day, and she lived until she was 93"
- If the claim is "ALL smokers die younger than ALL non-smokers"...
- …then this counter-example refutes it.
- Perhaps:
 - "On average, smokers die younger than non-smokers"

"Smokers have lower life expectancy"

Smokers have lower life expectancy

- 20% of smokers die before they are 60 years old
- Doll et al.,2004. Smoking habits of 34000 doctors born 1900-1930.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Convinced?
- Any other information you need?

Smokers have lower life expectancy

- You know P(DeathBeforeSixty|smoker) = 0.2
- You also need to know P(DeathBeforeSixty|nonsmoker)
- P(DeathBeforeSixty|nonsmoker) = 0.1 (Doll et al., 2004)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Odds ratio

- P(DeathBeforeSixty|smoker) = 0.2
- P(DeathBeforeSixty|nonsmoker) = 0.1
- Odds ratio, OR = 0.2/0.1
- ► OR = 2
- Smoking doubles the risk of dying before sixty.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Life is risky



- "Yeah, but you could give up smoking and then die in a car accident"
- ...which possibly means...
 - Many activities have some level of risk.
 - It is impossible to avoid all risk.
 - So everything has to be a risk-benefit analysis otherwise you'd never do anything.

Life is risky? Yes, it is!

- Correct. Life is a risk-benefit analysis.
- Benefit is somewhat subjective what are the benefits of being a smoker? Or a car driver?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

...but odds ratio can help quantify and compare risk.

Odds ratio

Mokdad et al. (2004) - USA data

- Tobacco smoking is the cause of death for about 18% of people.
- Car accidents are the cause of death for about 0.2% of people.

•
$$OR = 18/0.2 = 90$$

- Smoking is 90 times more likely to kill you than driving a car.
- Much more than that, actually, because only a minority smoke in the US, but most adults drive regularly.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

I am an individual, not a statistic!



- Correct.
- These are samples across large numbers of people. They do not *determine* your future cause of death.
- But, risk calculations should inform our decisions. Example...

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

Russian Roulette



A D > A P > A B > A B >

- 3

Playing Russian Roulette once, P(death) = 0.17
 After you have played, P(death) = 1 or P(death) = 0

Inverse Russian Roulette



- Now imagine inverse Russian roulette (five bullets)
- ▶ Playing Inverse Russian Roulette once, P(death) = .83
- Again, after you have played, P(death) = 1 or P(death) = 0

- If you had to choose between the games, which would you pick ?
- The odds ratio here is .83/.17 = 5

Probability

Probability (by the simplest objective definition) is that property which allows us to calculate the frequency of an event in a very long run of events.





•
$$P(heads) = 0.5, P(tails) = 0.5$$

- Flip a fair coin 1000 times, you get close to 500 heads.
- The more times you flip the more *heads/flips* tends towards 0.5.

Probability Exercise 1



- Rolling a six on a six-sided dice.
- Having to stand when 60 passengers board a bus with 40 seats.



(日)

э

Probability Exercise 2

- Of dying during 2022, across everyone living in England or Wales.
- Of getting 4 numbers in the next Lotto game if you buy one ticket.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 Of committing suicide during 2022, if you live in England/Wales, and are aged 5-34.

GAME SHOW!



"Let's Make A Deal" with your host, Monty Hall.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Which player would you pass to?



A D > A P > A B > A B >

- 3

- Player A: Score Score Miss Miss
- Player B: Miss Miss Score Score
- A, B, or doesn't matter?

Roulette



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

- Red Red Black Red Black Black Black Black
- Bet "red", bet "black", or doesn't matter?

Conditional Probability and Randomness

- Probability of some event, given that some other event is known to have occurred.
- $\blacktriangleright P(heads_t | heads_{t-1}) = 0.5$
- $\blacktriangleright P(heads_t | tails_{t-1}) = 0.5$
- Events are independent if the conditional probabilities are equal to the unconditional probabilities (as close to an adequate definition of "random" as you're ever likely to get).
- Coin flips, roulette wheels, etc. are demonstrably independent.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Gamblers' fallacy



- Red Red Black Red Black Black Black Black
- Bet "red", or bet "black" ?

Hot hand fallacy

- Player A: Score Score Miss Miss
- Player B: Miss Miss Score Score
- A, B, or doesn't matter?
- Gilovich, Vallone & Tversky (1985) Shots in basketball are independent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Linda

Linda is 31 years old, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy. As a student, she was deeply concerned with issues of discrimination and social justice, and also participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.

- Which is more probable?
 - 1. Linda is a shop assistant.
 - 2. Linda is a feminist shop assistant.

Shared birthdays

In a class of 30 children, what's the probability that there is a shared birthday in the class?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

More likely there is, or more likely there is not?

Conjunction fallacy

- Which is more probable?
 - 1. Linda is a shop assistant.
 - 2. Linda is a shop assistant and is active in the feminist movement.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

The conjunction rule

The probability of two *independent* events both occurring is the product of their individual probabilities.

P(heads_{times1and2}) = P(heads_{time1}) × P(heads_{time2}) = 0.5 × 0.5 = 0.25

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

•
$$P(assistant) = .05, P(feminist) = .95$$

•
$$P(assistant + feminist) = .05 \times .95 = .0475$$

Shared birthdays

In a class of 30 children, what's the probability that there is a shared birthday in the class?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

More likely there is, or more likely there is not?

More high-school maths

- Number of pairs: n(n-1)/2
- This gets very large quite quickly.
- Pairs in a group of 2: 2(1)/2 = 1
- Pairs in a group of 5: 5(4)/2 = 10
- Pairs in a group of 10: 10(9)/2 = 45
- Pairs in a group of 20: 20(19)/2 = 190
- > Pairs of children in a class of 30: 30(29)/2 = 435
- > Pairs in Year 1 psychology, approx: 200(199)/2 = 19900

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Birthday example

- 365 days in the year (ignore Feb 29th).
- So, the chance of one pair of kids sharing a birthday is 1/365 = .003
- Thus, chance of not sharing is .997
- If no pair of kids share a birthday, then there is no shared birthday in the class.
- How many pairs in the class?
- ▶ $n(n-1)/2 = 30 \times 29/2 = 435.$
- Under conjunction rule, $p = .997^{435} = .17$
- Thus, probability of a shared birthday is 1-.17 = .83

Sally Clark



- Solicitor; born 1964.
- Christopher died at 11 weeks.
- One year later, Harry died at 8 weeks.
- Little to no forensic evidence.
- No evidence she had been a violent or uncaring parent.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

Roy Meadows - expert witness

- Chances of a randomly chosen baby dying of cot death are 1 in 1303, p = .0008
- ▶ If the family is affluent, and the mother is over 26, then the chances are even lower; 1 in 8500, p = .0001
- ▶ Through the conjunction rule, the probability of two cot deaths in the same family is $.0001 \times .0001 = 1 \times 10^{-8}$
- 1 in 73 million
- Less than once a century in the UK.
- The idea that these deaths were by natural causes can be ruled out beyond *reasonable doubt*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Roy Meadows - expert witness

- Chances of a randomly chosen baby dying of cot death are 1 in 1303, p = .0008
- ▶ If the family is affluent, and the mother is over 26, then the chances are even lower; 1 in 8500, p = .0001
- Through the conjunction rule, the probability of two cot deaths in the same family is .0001 × .0001 = 1 × 10⁻⁸ ...
 COT DEATHS WITHIN THE SAME FAMILY ARE HIGHLY UNLIKELY TO BE INDEPENDENT EVENTS.
- 1 in 73 million
- Less than once a century in the UK.
- The idea that these deaths were by natural causes can be ruled out beyond *reasonable doubt*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Further Reading

Helpful background, only lecture content on these topics is examinable).

- ▶ Paulos (1988/2000). *Innumeracy*. Penguin.
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjunction_fallacy

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sally_Clark
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability